

**HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY**

WRIT PETITION No. 835 OF 2006

-

Between:

B. Shankar & others

..... Petitioners

AND

The Government of India,
Ministry of Urban Development & Poverty &
Deviation Department of Urban Development &
Poverty Alleviation,
New Delhi
Rep. by its Secretary & others

.....Respondents

:: O R D E R ::

Counsel for the petitioners : Shri T. Sudhakar Reddy

Counsel for the respondent No.2 : Special Government Pleader

Dated: 05.01.2007

Per G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

In this petition, the petitioners have made the following substantive prayer:

“ It is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners of allowing them to continue their petty vending business in the same place or allot alternative place to set up their Telephone Booths/kiosks/shops, while setting aside the notices dated 3.1.2006 declaring the action of the respondents as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of principles of natural justice or otherwise the petitioners would be put to irreparable and irretrievable loss and injury of losing their livelihood.”

Shri T. Sudhakar Reddy says that in view of the interim orders passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.20228 of 2005, all the petitioners have been allotted alternative sites and they have shifted from the present places of their business.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition as infructuous, W.P.M.P.No. 986 of 2006 filed by the petitioners for interim relief is also disposed of as infructuous.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

05.01.2007
ksld

